

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,729	11/04/2003	Ravisankar V. Pudipeddi	MS306584.1 / 4944 MSFTP530US	
27195	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	INER
	UROCY, LLP R, NATIONAL CITY C	TO, BAOQUOC N		
1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2003	. ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		_			
	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/700,72	29	PUDIPEDDI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit			
	Baoquoc	N. To	2162			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state beriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 May 2005</u> .					
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		· ·				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	·	` ''				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certi	ried copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	5) Notice of Inform6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050720			

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 13, 25 and 29 are amended in the amendment filed on 05/11/2005. Claims 1-34 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Regarding claims 1, the phrase "that" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 3-12 are depended on claim 1; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that in contrast, the invention as claimed utilized and assigns integer value to facilitate ordering of file system and file system filter wherein the Golds et al. discloses a system and method for ordering software modules in a guaranteed order for execution wherein unique ordering value are statically assigned to software modules. The unique ordering values so assigned by the cited document are floating point values from of the form "0.ABB"

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above argument. Floating point values as discloses by Golds are values to order the file system and file

Art Unit: 2162

system server, wherein the floating as broadly as interprets are values which the same as integer value as applicant now claimed in the independent claims (Paragraph 0035 and 0036). The purpose of usage is the same for example ordering files; therefore, they are the same as being broadly interpreted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Golds (Pub. No. US 2001/0020245 A1).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Page 4

Regarding on claims 1 and 34, Golds teaches a computer system that facilitates management of a file system filter, comprising:

At least one minifilter that has an altitude that is an integer value numeric values (.25 and .30) (page 4, paragraph 0035) associated therewith (filter A has an altitude) (page 4, paragraph 0033); and

A filter manager that maps altitudes of the at least one minifilter to legacy filter order group (the assigned altitude within a class will be arbitrary, e.g., there will be combination where filter A can not work above filter B just as well as filter B could work above A) (page 4, paragraph 0033).

Regarding on claim 3, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 1, the altitudes are unique values (.25 and .30 are unique value) (page 4, paragraph 0035).

Regarding on claim 4, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 3, the altitudes define the full order of the minifilters with respect to each other (relative order between filters) (page 3, paragraph 0032).

Regarding on claim 5, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 1, multiple instances of the filter manager attach to a file system stack (page 5, lines 0043).

Regarding on claim 6, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 5, each instance of the filter manager attach to a file system stack (page 3, paragraph 0029).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding on claim 7, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 1, the at least one minifilter is code to permit dynamic loading and/or unloading to a filter stack (page 3, paragraph 0030).

Regarding on claim 8, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 7, the altitude of the at least one minifilter ensures that the at least one minifilter, if unloaded, will reload to its previous position in the filter stack (page 5, paragraph 0040).

Regarding on claim 9, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 1, further comprising at least one frame dynamically associated with a single minifilter (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 10, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 1, further comprising at least one frame dynamically associated with at least one minifilter (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 11, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 10, further comprising a numerical interval associated with each frame (page 4, lines 0035).

Regarding on claim 12, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 11, the altitude of at least one minifilter has a value within the numerical interval associated with each frame.

Regarding on claims 13 and 35, Golds teaches a computer implemented method for managing a file system filter, comprising:

Loading at least one minifilter to a file system (filter manger request for install the filter driver) (page 5, paragraph 0042); and

Art Unit: 2162

Determining an integer altitude value (numeric values .25 and .30) (page 4, paragraph 0035) associated with the at least one minifilter (the manager determines the altitude of the filter driver) (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 14, Golds teaches a method of claimed 13, further comprising scanning at least one filter manager frame in the file system to find an altitude interval [L, H] associated with the at least one filter manager frame, wherein L is the lower boundary value of the interval and H is the upper boundary value of the interval (page 5, paragraph 0040).

Regarding on claim 15, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 14, further comprising scanning filter manager frames to determine a frame altitude interval that encompasses the altitude value of the at least one minifilter, such that L<X,H, wherein X is the altitude of the at least one minifilter (page 5, paragraph 0040).

Regarding on claim 16, Golds teaches the method recite in claim 15, further comprising inserting at least one minifilter into the filter manager frame with a corresponding altitude interval upon discovery thereof (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 17, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 16, further comprising updating minifilter object associated with the at least one minifilter to point to the frame into which the minifilter has been inserted (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 18, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 15, further comprising scanning filter manager frames for altitude interval, [L1, H1].

Art Unit: 2162

[L2, H2], adjacent to the altitude value X of the at least one minifilter if no single interval [L, H] encompassing the altitude value X of the at least one minifilter is found, such that the value of the altitude, X, of the at least one minifilter is greater than the upper boundary value of the lower interval H1 and less than the lower boundary value of the higher interval L2 (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 19, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 18, further comprising:

Inserting the at least one minifilter into the frame having the higher interval (page 5, paragraph 0042);

Adjusting the interval of the frame to [X, H2] (page 5, paragraph 0042); and

Initializing the filter object associated with the at least one minifilter to point to the frame into which the at least one minifilter has been inserted (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 20, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 18, further comprising creating a new frame and stacking the new frame at the top of the file system stack, if no intervals adjacent to the altitude value of the at least one minifilter are found (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 21, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 20 further comprising pre-allocating the new frame for management of the at least one minifilter (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 22, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 21, further comprising calling the filter manager's file system notification routine to

Art Unit: 2162

submit a request to register for file system notifications (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 23, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 22, further comprising:

Inserting the minifilter into the new frame (page 5, paragraph 0042);
Initializing the frame interval upper and lower boundary values to the altitude value of the at least one minifilter such that the interval is [H, X] (page 5, paragraph 0042); and

Updating a filter object associated with the at least one minifilter to point to the new frame; wherein the request to register was successful (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 24, Golds the method recited in claim 22, further comprising:

Removing the new frame from the filter stack (page 5, paragraph 0042)

Extracting the altitude interval from the next lower, now top-most, frame in the stack (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Collapsing the at least one minifilter into the top-most frame(page 5, paragraph 0042); and

Adjusting the frame interval so that the upper boundary value is set equal to the value of the altitude of the at least one minifilter, such that the adjusted interval is [L, X]; wherein the request for registration failed (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding on claim 25, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 22, further comprising determining the identity of a frame calling into the file system notification routine (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 26, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 25, wherein the identity of the frame is determined by counting the number of all filter manager device objects, N, already in the stack, from top to bottom, using existing application program interface, and wherein each device object represents a frame (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 27, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 26, further comprising initializing a counter to N and decrementing the counter for every node encountered from the bottom to the top of the stack (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on clam 28, Golds teaches the method recited in claim 27, wherein a zero value in the counter represents the position of the frame that corresponds to the attachment of the filter manager (page 5, paragraph 0040).

Regarding on claim 29, Golds teaches a computer system that facilitates management of a file system filter, comprising:

Means for mapping integer value altitudes (numeric values .25 and .30) (page 4, paragraph 0035) of minifilters to legacy filter order groups (the assigned altitude within a class will be arbitrary, e.g., there will be combination where A could work above B just well as B could will work above A) (page 4, paragraph 0033); and

Art Unit: 2162

Means for determining an altitude interval associated with at least one frame (filter manager determines the altitude of the filter driver) (page. 5, paragraph 42).

Regarding on claim 30, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 29, further comprising means for inserting at least one minifilter into a frame (insert the filter driver) (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 31, Golds teaches the system recited in claim 30, further comprising means for altering a frame interval to embrace a given minifilter altitude (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Regarding on claim 32, Golds teaches system recited in claim 31, further comprising means for creating a frame for management of at least one minifilter (page 5, paragraph 0042).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 2162

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041 or via e-mail BaoquocN.To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Baoquoc N. To July 20, 2005

> JEAN W. CORRIELUS MIMARY EXAMINER